

**Addendum to Agenda item 5**

**Executive Board**

**22 March 2018**

- 1 Please find attached the following appendices:

Appendix 8	Addendum to Appendix 1 - List of representors
Appendix 9	Addendum to Appendix 2 - Spreadsheet summary of information for each representor
Appendix 10	Addendum to Appendix 3 - Spreadsheet of the changes being proposed in Local Plan order and officer response

- 2 These appendices relate to representations received from 6 March to 16 March 2018.
- 3 There are an additional 93 representors.
- 4 The representations raise no new issues from those identified in the main Board Report.
- 5 There are some additional suggested changes to the Local Plan and these are included in Appendix 10.
6. The additional representations have been placed in the Committee room to compliment the copy of all the previous representations that is already there.

Ref No	Name	Organisation
SLP363	Simon Smithson	
SLP364	H Winkler	Tyler Parkes
SLP365	D Whitehead	
SLP366	Lorna Miles	
SLP367	Stephen Leigh	
SLP368	Bethany Fitzpatrick	
SLP369	Joanne Liddell	
SLP370	Paul Liddell	
SLP371	Emma Du-Prat	
SLP372	Michael Bailey co/ Andrew Dodson	Apus Projects Ltd
SLP373	Holly Wright	
SLP374	Jake Williams	
SLP375	Miss S Wright	
SLP376	Jan Preece	
SLP377	Donna Watts	Stop The Build
SLP378	J Price	
SLP379	Amanda Genge	
SLP380	C & A Baines	
SLP380	C & A Baines	
SLP380	C & A Baines	
SLP381	G McDonald	
SLP382	Helen Davies	TFWM
SLP383	Debbie Rowland	
SLP384	Beverly Garratt	
SLP385	James Chadwick	
SLP386	Evelyn Hughes	
SLP387	Simon Rose	
SLP388	W J Smith	
SLP389	Mr L Chadwick-Jones	
SLP390	Janet Hodson	JVH Town Planning Consultants Ltd
SLP391	Unknown (can't read)	
SLP392	Katrina Melia	
SLP393	Amy Nicholls	
SLP394	Mr M Riley	
SLP395	S J Elliott	
SLP396	AM Pallett	
SLP397	VL Wrenn	
SLP398	Daniel Bushell	
SLP399	Ben Bryan	
SLP400	Unknown (can't read)	
SLP401	R Bolton	
SLP402	Michael Lynch	
SLP403	Unknown (can't read)	
SLP404	Terry Aherne	
SLP405	P Roberts	
SLP406	Paul Vyse	
SLP407	P Pratt	
SLP408	Owen Gray	
SLP409	Mrs Mary Sadler	
SLP410	K J Morgan	
SLP411	D Morgan	

SLP412	Julia Elliot	
SLP413	Julie Turner	
SLP414	Kelly Aherne	
SLP415	Unknown (can't read)	
SLP416	D Massey	
SLP417	Robert Scarley	-
SLP418	Katie Bushell	
SLP419	Mr Bobby Crouch	
SLP420	Mr A Lewis	
SLP421	Rebecca Hodgins	
SLP422	L Dunlea	
SLP423	G Cox	
SLP424	S R Eaton	
SLP425	Mrs Janet Peter	
SLP426	Chelsea Smith	
SLP427	Paul Ford	
SLP428	Nic Thomas	Oxalis Planning Limited
SLP429	David Hodgetts	Indigo Planning
SLP430	Neil Cox	Pegasus Planning
SLP431	Rupert Parkin	Piper Homes
SLP432	Daniel Wilson	Barton Willmore
SLP433	Peter Frampton	WHS Plastics
SLP434	Rebecca Thompson	Wallace Land Investments
SLP435	Nick Sandford	The Woodland Trust
SLP436	Damien Holdstock	IM Properties Development Ltd
SLP437	Peter Frampton	Coppice Garden Centre Ltd
SLP438	Kathryn Ventham	Sharba Homes Ltd
SLP439	Damien Holdstock	IM Properties Development Ltd
SLP440	Steve Simms	Kentucky Fried Chicken
SLP441	Mark Jackson	EON
SLP442	Alban Henderson	Severn Trent Water
SLP443	Paul Hughes and Tiffany MacDonald	
SLP444	Rajvir Bahey	Sport England
SLP445	Eaton Walker	Crisps Farm Ltd
SLP446	Prologis UK Limited	Pail Rouse (Savills)
SLP447	Mark Sullivan	CPRE
SLP448	Mr M Neachell co/ Mrs J Hodson	JVH Town Planning Consultants Ltd
SLP449	Andrew J Leonard	Baddesley & Grendon Stop the Build Action Group
SLP450	Unknown (can't read)	
SLP451	Warren Dyson	
SLP452	Bryan Salt	
SLP453	Carol Carlisle	
SLP454	Mrs Riley	
SLP455	Sarah Evans	
SLP456	Chris Horton	
SLP457	Mr K Woolley	
SLP458	Mrs Woolley	
SLP459	Ian Driver	

Ref No	Name	Organisation	Item/Policy	Reason	Duty to Co-operate			Legally Compliant			Positively Prepared			Sound			Justified			Effective			NPPF			EXAMINATION	Reason
					Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref		
SLP117	Cllr Adam Farrell	North Warwickshire Labour Group	GBHMA Study (Housing numbers)	Plan should address findings of Study, revised housing shortfall and potential for new settlement.			1			1			1				1			1				1		YES	Labour group represent thousands of local people
SLP169	Gemma McKinnon	WCC Health	Policy	Leisure Facilities Policy needed to protect facilities.			1			1			1				1			1				1		NO	
SLP169	Gemma McKinnon	WCC Health	Open Space SPD, Greenspace strategy	Support SPD and Greenspace Strategy			1			1			1				1			1				1		NO	
SLP169	Gemma McKinnon	WCC Health	Allocations	Additional infrastructure required. Apply flexible approach to service and infrastructure provision.			1			1			1				1			1				1		NO	
SLP172	David Parsons		Meaningful Gap IDP	In danger of creating an urban sprawl. Concerns over Alvecote Wood. Infrastructure provision is not certain.			1			1			1				1			1				1		NO	
SLP278A	Dr J M Doggett		Open Space SPD, IDP	Shortfall between cost of facilities, infrastructure and funding			1		1				1				1			1				1		YES	
SLP289	Susan Green	HBF	GBHMA Study (Feb 2017)	Clarify Plans contribution to updated unmet need. Include "Areas of Search" in North Warwickshire. Clarify relationship of Plan to Study.		1				1			1				1			1				1		NO	
SLP289	Susan Green	HBF	Duty to Co-Operate Statement	No DTC Statement. DIC unresolved for GBHMA		1				1			1				1			1				1		NO	
SLP289	Susan Green	HBF	Revised NPPF	Revised NPPF and standard methodology needs addressing		1				1			1				1			1				1		NO	
SLP291	Craig Tracey MP		Open Space SPD, Developer Contributions	Support proposals in SPD. Sporting infrastructure - shortage of suitable facilities within the town. Developer Contributions to be looked at in Parliament			1			1			1				1			1				1		NO	
SLP294	Merle Gering	Keep our Green Belt	Housing numbers	Questioning housing numbers, unmet need Birmingham and Coventry, need exaggerated			1			1			1				1			1				1		NO	
SLP301	Anita Ailsopp	Alvecote & Shuttington PC	Meaningful Gap Assessment	Retain land adjacent urban areas. Area 3 and 4 vital to maintain Meaningful Gap			1			1			1				1			1				1		YES	Due to importance of Meaningful Gap
SLP303	KNG Developments co/ Peter Bateman	Framptons	LP4	Safeguarded mechanism inflexible	1			1					1				1			1				1		YES	Whilst KNG Developments supports the principle of Policy LP4 they do not support the wording of the policy and feel they could contribute to the discussion on the justification for a partial review as well as exception circumstances justifying the release of land from the Green Belt
SLP317	Alistair Cliffe	Spawforths	Green Belt, GBHMA Study	Plan should address findings of Study and 'Areas of Search'		1				1			1				1			1				1		YES	
SLP320A	Greg Mitchell	Framptons	LP2	Seeking for development boundary to be extended to reflect granting of planning permission for phase 2	1			1					1	1			1			1				1		YES	
SLP324	Sushil Birdi	Tamworth Borough Council	Meaningful Gap Assessment	NWBC have not indicated where 500 need from Tamworth will be accommodated. The DSLP should show a robust 5 year housing supply to justify the gap policy. Para 1.7 refers to consultation with TBC - reference should be made to the views of Tamworth BC and the outcome			1			1			1				1			1				1		NO	

Ref No	Name	Organisation	Item/Policy	Reason	Duty to Co-operate			Legally Compliant			Positively Prepared			Sound			Justified			Effective			NPPF			EXAMINATION	Reason
					Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref		
SLP324	Sushil Birdi	Tamworth Borough Council	Leisure Facilities Strategy	Pressure on Tamworth facilities arising from growth. TBC will need to be party to any Section 106 discussions. TBC is not aware of being consulted on this document			1			1		1						1								NO	
SLP330	Mathieu Evans	Gladman Development	LP5	Insufficient evidence and justification for scale of MG																					YES	Discuss plan	
SLP331	Mr P Woollicroft & Mr & Mrs K Ford c/o Toby Haselwood	Sworders	Site Allocation H20	Site can support more than 450 through extended development area. Addition enables flexibility.	1			1				1	1						1						1	YES	
SLP334	Ian Fray Kingsbury Hall Developments Ltd c/o Michael Davies	Savills UK plc	LP3, LP7, LP14, LP15, LP20, LP22	Not consistent with NPPF, Site Omission at Kingsbury Hall, blanket approach to tree retention unjustified, lack of Green Space strategy, unjustified LP22. Note Councils Archeological Assessment, Open Space SPD.			1					1						1						1	YES	Discuss policies	
SLP336	Hallam Land c/o Mark Bassett	Freeths LLP	LP5	Unsound and unjustified, no landscape assessment, robes lane site should be removed from the MG			1					1	1					1						1	YES	Promote H13 allocation	
SLP336	Hallam Land c/o Mark Bassett	Freeths LLP	LP5, Assessment of Meaningful Gap Study (LUC)	Study judgements flawed (re: Parcel 3). Lacks technical evidence and qualitative analysis. LP5 strategy inappropriate. Reduces Plan flexibility.			1					1						1						1	YES	Promote H13 allocation	
SLP338	Mrs Stella Doggett		Alternative garden village	Insufficient consideration given for garden village elsewhere		1						1	1					1						1	NO		
SLP338	Mrs Stella Doggett		Open Space SPD	Unlikely to deliver enough financial contributions for services sought. Replacement open space insufficient to address loss and wildlife impact		1						1	1					1						1	NO		
SLP338	Mrs Stella Doggett		IDP	Contribution shortfall likely. Unrealistic expectations. Delivery of infrastructure uncertain. Viability impacts on S106 contributions.		1						1	1					1						1	NO		
SLP343	Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd c/o Cameron Austin-Fell	RPS Planning Group	LP5, Assessment of Meaningful Gap Study (LUC)	Flawed methodology. Dispute conclusions.			1					1	1					1						1	YES		
SLP345	Cathedral Agricultural Partnership and the White Family c/o Michael Davies	Savills UK plc	Site Allocation (Land east of Polesworth and Dordon) H7 and LP7	General support for policy however question the rationale for 5% self build, no justification for 50m ancient woodland buffer. Inclusion of Landscape Character Assessment in support.			1					1	1					1						1	YES		
SLP345	Cathedral Agricultural Partnership and the White Family c/o Michael Davies	Savills UK plc	Site Allocation (Land east of Polesworth and Dordon) H7	Former mined site never fully restored. Should be classed as pdl for purposes of LP9 Affordable housing.			1					1	1					1						1	YES		
SLP345	Cathedral Agricultural Partnership and the White Family c/o Michael Davies	Savills UK plc	Open Space SPD	SPD does not indicate contributions for "green corridor network" on allocated sites. Seek clarity for site H7.			1					1	1					1						1	YES		
SLP363	Simon Smithson		H7	Concerns about infrastructure. Consider alternatives including new settlement			1					1	1					1						1			
SLP364	H Winkler	Tyler Parkes	LP2, LP3	Include clients site LP2 is too restrictive. LP3 is too restrictive. Consider rewording both Policies		1		1				1	1					1						1	?		
SLP365	D Whitehead		LP2, LP6	Questioning housing numbers, Settlement Hierarchy and IDP			1					1	1					1						1	NO		
SLP366	Lorna Miles		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure and loss of wildlife, fields etc. Not meeting local needs. Impact of HS2			1					1	1					1						1	NO		

Ref No	Name	Organisation	Item/Policy	Reason	Duty to Co-operate			Legally Compliant			Positively Prepared			Sound			Justified			Effective			NPPF			EXAMINATION	Reason		
					Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref			Yes	No
SLP367	Stephen Leigh		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure.Out of scale and out of character. Impact on wildlife			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			NO	
SLP368	Bethany Fitzpatrick					1			1			1			1			1			1			1			NO		
SLP369	Joanne Liddell		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	No Market Town. Concerns about traffic and bridges. Impact of HS2. Greenbelt Land - loss of wildlife.Loss of Meaningful gap.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			NO	
SLP370	Paul Liddell		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	No Market Town. Concerns about traffic and bridges. Impact of HS2. Greenbelt Land - loss of wildlife.Loss of Meaningful gap.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			NO	
SLP371	Emma Du-Prat		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	No Market Town. Concerns about traffic and bridges. Impact of HS2. Greenbelt Land - loss of wildlife.Loss of Meaningful gap.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			NO	
SLP372	Michael Bailey co/ Andrew Dodson	Apus Projects Ltd	Site Allocations / SA, LP6, LP39	Failings in the assessment of housing need. Land at Westwood Road (ATH9) should be allocated.			1		1				1		1			1			1			1			YES	To present views within reps	
SLP373	Holly Wright		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Roads and bridges under pressure. Infrastructure for roads - concern. Loss of wildlife, woodland etc. Impact of HS2.Consider brownfield first. Reconsider new village			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			NO	
SLP374	Jake Williams		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure and loss of wildlife, fields etc. Not meeting local needs. Impact of HS2			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			NO	
SLP375	Miss S Wright		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure provision (roads, doctors, schools). Not meeting local needs.Loss of amenity assets.Lack of justified evidence and information.			1			1		1			1			1			1			1				NO	
SLP376	Jan Preece		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Concern about scale of build.Traffic impact, HS2, lack of infrastructure, increased flooding issues. Consider alternative options including brownfield sites			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			NO	
SLP377	Donna Watts	Stop The Build	Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Housing needs to be in line with local needs not those of Birmingham. Loss of wildlife. One sided consultation.Loss of village life. Impact of HS2			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			NO	
SLP378	J Price		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Concerns over impact on infrastructure. Destruction of countryside.Potential issues due to past mining.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			NO	
SLP379	Amanda Genge		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Traffic impact. Infrastructure concerns regarding schools. Loss of countryside. HS2 impact.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			NO	
SLP380	C & A Baines		LP2	Object to housing numbers and allocation for Warton. Polesworth and Dordon are 2 separate villages and do not benefit from services that would make them a town.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			NO	
SLP380	C & A Baines		IDP	Requests of IDP do not go far enough			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			NO	
SLP380	C & A Baines		Housing Allocations Warton	Support the fact that PS182 has not been put forward and would have major concerns if it did			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			NO	
SLP381	G McDonald		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure and loss of wildlife, fields etc. Not meeting local needs. Impact of HS2			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			NO	
SLP382	Helen Davies	TFWM	General	Generally supportive of plan and welcome a partnership approach on addressing strategic transport needs o fthe plan and wider area			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			NO	

Ref No	Name	Organisation	Item/Policy	Reason	Duty to Co-operate			Legally Compliant			Positively Prepared			Sound			Justified			Effective			NPPF			EXAMINATION	Reason
					Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref		
SLP383	Debbie Rowland		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Settlement Heirachy, dordon and polesworth separate villages, infrastructure provision lacking, no improvements to rail provision for Polesworth, leisure facilities			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP384	Beverly Garratt		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Settlement Heirachy, dordon and polesworth separate villages, infrastructure cannot accommodate proposed development, green space vulnerable, new settlement curdworth or daw mill			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP385	James Chadwick		Duty to Cooperate	Cross boundary implications, statement of common ground, suggest minor amendments to wordings within the local plan			1			1		1			1			1			1			1	NO		
SLP386	Evelyn Hughes		LP23	Object, policy overly restrictive, add additional supporting paragraph	1			1			1		1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP387	Simon Rose		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	No rationale for 3790 homes from GB, other sites perform better in SA than those allocated, infrastructure, wildlife implications from 2000 homes, IDP lacks information, not sustainable		1			1			1		1		1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP388	W J Smith		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Roads are a major issue. Impact of HS2. Lack of infrastructure. Loss of countryside and woodland. Build out of proportion. Flooding issues. Consider alternative options			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP389	Mr L Chadwick-Jones		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Roads and bridges under pressure. Infrastructure for roads - concern. Loss of wildlife, woodland etc. Impact of HS2. Consider brownfield first. Reconsider new village			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP390	Janet Hodson	JVH Town Planning Consultants Ltd	Table 1, Table 2, LP6, LP8, LP12, LP13, LP40	T1, T2, LP6 - no clear explanation for making provision for Tamworth from wider HMA. LP6 unclear and unsound. LP8 - object to windfall allowance. LP13 - Object, not in line with NPPF. LP12 and LP40 - Object to depicting Dev Boundary for employment proposal at Hams Hall. Should be additional allocations M42 belt/east of Birmingham.		1			1		1		1		1			1			1			1	YES	To discuss the issues of soundness and lack of alternatives, address our client landowners interests and proposed modifications.	
SLP391	Unknown (cant read)		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Roads and bridges under pressure. Infrastructure for roads - concern. Loss of wildlife, woodland etc. Impact of HS2. Consider brownfield first. Reconsider new village			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP392	Katrina Melia		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Roads and bridges under pressure. Infrastructure for roads - concern. Loss of wildlife, woodland etc. Impact of HS2. Consider brownfield first. Reconsider new village			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP393	Amy Nicholls		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Roads and bridges under pressure. Infrastructure for roads - concern. Loss of wildlife, woodland etc. Impact of HS2. Consider brownfield first. Reconsider new village			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP394	Mr M Riley		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Roads and bridges under pressure. Infrastructure for roads - concern. Loss of wildlife, woodland etc. Impact of HS2. Consider brownfield first. Reconsider new village			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP395	S J Elliott		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Roads and bridges under pressure. Infrastructure for roads - concern. Loss of wildlife, woodland etc. Impact of HS2. Consider brownfield first. Reconsider new village			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	

Ref No	Name	Organisation	Item/Policy	Reason	Duty to Co-operate			Legally Compliant			Positively Prepared			Sound			Justified			Effective			NPPF			EXAMINATION	Reason			
					Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref			Yes	No	No ref
SLP396	AM Pallett		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Roads and bridges under pressure. Infrastructure for roads - concern. Loss of wildlife, woodland etc. Impact of HS2. Consider brownfield first. Reconsider new village			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP397	VL Wrenn		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure and loss of wildlife, fields etc. Not meeting local needs. Impact of HS2			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP398	Daniel Bushell		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure and loss of wildlife, fields etc. Not meeting local needs. Impact of HS2			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP399	Ben Bryan		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure and loss of wildlife, fields etc. Not meeting local needs. Impact of HS2			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP400	Unknown (cant read)		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure and loss of wildlife, fields etc. Not meeting local needs. Impact of HS2			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP401	R Bolton		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure and loss of wildlife, fields etc. Not meeting local needs. Impact of HS2			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP402	Michael Lynch		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure and loss of wildlife, fields etc. Not meeting local needs. Impact of HS2			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP403	Unknown (cant read)		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure and loss of wildlife, fields etc. Not meeting local needs. Impact of HS2			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP404	Terry Aherne		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Concern about scale of build. Traffic impact, HS2, lack of infrastructure, increased flooding issues. Consider alternative options including brownfield sites			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP405	P Roberts		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Concern about scale of build. Traffic impact, HS2, lack of infrastructure, increased flooding issues. Consider alternative options including brownfield sites			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP406	Paul Vyse		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Concern about scale of build. Traffic impact, HS2, lack of infrastructure, increased flooding issues. Consider alternative options including brownfield sites			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP407	P Pratt		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Concern about scale of build. Traffic impact, HS2, lack of infrastructure, increased flooding issues. Consider alternative options including brownfield sites			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP408	Owen Gray		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Concern about scale of build. Traffic impact, HS2, lack of infrastructure, increased flooding issues. Consider alternative options including brownfield sites			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP409	Mrs Mary Sadler		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Concern about scale of build. Traffic impact, HS2, lack of infrastructure, increased flooding issues. Consider alternative options including brownfield sites			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP410	K J Morgan		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Concern about scale of build. Traffic impact, HS2, lack of infrastructure, increased flooding issues. Consider alternative options including brownfield sites			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP411	D Morgan		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Concern about scale of build. Traffic impact, HS2, lack of infrastructure, increased flooding issues. Consider alternative options including brownfield sites			1			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	



Ref No	Name	Organisation	Item/Policy	Reason	Duty to Co-operate			Legally Compliant			Positively Prepared			Sound			Justified			Effective			NPPF			EXAMINATION	Reason
					Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref		
SLP412	Julia Elliot		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Concern about scale of build.Traffic impact, HS2, lack of infrastructure, increased flooding issues. Consider alternative options including brownfield sites			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP413	Julie Turner		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Concern about scale of build.Traffic impact, HS2, lack of infrastructure, increased flooding issues. Consider alternative options including brownfield sites			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP414	Kelly Aherne		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure provision (roads, doctors, schools). Not meeting local needs.Loss of amenity assets.Lack of justified evidence and information.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP415	Unknown (cant read)		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure provision (roads, doctors, schools). Not meeting local needs.Loss of amenity assets.Lack of justified evidence and information.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP416	D Massey		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure provision (roads, doctors, schools). Not meeting local needs.Loss of amenity assets.Lack of justified evidence and information.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP417	Robert Scarley		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure provision (roads, doctors, schools). Not meeting local needs.Loss of amenity assets.Lack of justified evidence and information.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP418	Katie Bushell		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure provision (roads, doctors, schools). Not meeting local needs.Loss of amenity assets.Lack of justified evidence and information.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP419	Mr Bobby Crouch		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure provision (roads, doctors, schools). Not meeting local needs.Loss of amenity assets.Lack of justified evidence and information.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP420	Mr A Lewis		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure provision (roads, doctors, schools). Not meeting local needs.Loss of amenity assets.Lack of justified evidence and information.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP421	Rebecca Hodgins		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure provision (roads, doctors, schools). Not meeting local needs.Loss of amenity assets.Lack of justified evidence and information.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP422	L Dunlea		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure provision (roads, doctors, schools). Not meeting local needs.Loss of amenity assets.Lack of justified evidence and information.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP423	G Cox		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure provision (roads, doctors, schools). Not meeting local needs.Loss of amenity assets.Lack of justified evidence and information.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP424	S R Eaton		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure provision (roads, doctors, schools). Not meeting local needs.Loss of amenity assets.Lack of justified evidence and information.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP425	Mrs Janet Peter		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure provision (roads, doctors, schools). Not meeting local needs.Loss of amenity assets.Lack of justified evidence and information.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP426	Chelsea Smith		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure provision (roads, doctors, schools). Not meeting local needs.Loss of amenity assets.Lack of justified evidence and information.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP427	Paul Ford		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure provision (roads, doctors, schools). Not meeting local needs.Loss of amenity assets.Lack of justified evidence and information.			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	

Ref No	Name	Organisation	Item/Policy	Reason	Duty to Co-operate			Legally Compliant			Positively Prepared			Sound			Justified			Effective			NPPF			EXAMINATION	Reason
					Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref		
SLP428	Nic Thomas	Oxalis Planning Limited	LP6, LP40 (amount of development and employment allocations) and spatial strategy Chapter 7	lack of evidence contained within LP on strategic employment land demand, LP not consistent with NPPF. Release of 26.8ha employment site at junction 9 of M42 required to meet form strategic employment site	1			1				1				1				1			1			YES	Explain why site at J9 of the M42 should be released from the Green Belt
SLP429	David Hodgetts	Indigo Planning	LP5, Meaningful Gap Assessment	M.Gap methodology flawed, LP5 inflexible and policy does not reflect MGA			1			1			1					1			1			1		YES	Significant modifications required to make plan sound
SLP429	David Hodgetts	Indigo Planning	Employment allocation E3	Support, reference to Hall End Farm should be removed			1			1			1					1			1			1		NO	
SLP429	David Hodgetts	Indigo Planning	LP11	Rural businesses of all size support be supported, small-scale only unjustified constraint			1			1			1					1			1			1		NO	
SLP429	David Hodgetts	Indigo Planning	LP12	Support			1			1			1					1			1			1		NO	
SLP429	David Hodgetts	Indigo Planning	LP13	Inflexible, not consistent with NPPF			1			1			1					1			1			1		NO	
SLP429	David Hodgetts	Indigo Planning	LP21	Unjustified			1			1			1					1			1			1		NO	
SLP429	David Hodgetts	Indigo Planning	LP22	Unjustified			1			1			1					1			1			1		NO	
SLP429	David Hodgetts	Indigo Planning	LP32	Overly restrictive			1			1			1					1			1			1		NO	
SLP429	David Hodgetts	Indigo Planning	Employment allocation E2	Support, overly restrictive with regards to access location			1			1			1					1			1			1		NO	
SLP429	David Hodgetts	Indigo Planning	LP40	Additional employment land needed, new allocation for replacement MSA			1			1			1					1			1			1		NO	
SLP429	David Hodgetts	Indigo Planning	LP6	allocate site to west of dordon for housing, additional employment land needed, increase from 100-130ha			1			1			1					1			1			1		YES	
SLP429	David Hodgetts	Indigo Planning	LP39a	LP39a unsound - no consideration to how A5 bypass will be funded			1			1			1					1			1			1		NO	
SLP429	David Hodgetts	Indigo Planning	LP39	allocate site to west of dordon for residential			1		1				1					1			1			1		YES	Promote site for inclusion DLP299 land west of Dordon
SLP430	Neil Cox	Pegasus Planning	Housing Allocations (H27)	Support			1			1			1					1			1			1		YES	
SLP430A	Neil Cox	Pegasus Planning	Housing Allocations within Water Orton	Insufficient market and affordable housing within water orton, settlement strategy unsuitable, growth concentrated to NE of borough, plan unsound, allocation H21 supported, additional housing site needed off b'ham road to meet demand, release of GB land needed			1			1			1					1			1			1		YES	
SLP430B	Neil Cox	Pegasus Planning	Housing Allocations with Coleshill	Insufficient market and affordable housing within Coleshill, settlement strategy unsuitable, growth concentrated to NE of borough, plan unsound, additional housing sites required, release of GB land needed			1			1			1					1			1			1		YES	
SLP431	Rupert Parkin	Piper Homes	LP3, LP8, LP39, inclusion of Wood Corner Farm for residential development	LP3 5.b does not go far enough, does not include potential larger redevelopment of PDL sites, no brownfield land register available, housing need within fillongley, include site at wood corner farm			1			1			1					1			1			1		YES	
SLP432	Daniel Wilson	Barton Willmore	Paragraph 7.49	Local plan fails to address wider than local employment needs, cross-boundary issues have not been dealt with, duty to cooperate has not been met		1				1			1					1			1			1		YES	Significant concerns with regards to provision of employment land
SLP432A	Daniel Wilson	Barton Willmore	LP2	Local plan fails to address wider than local employment needs, cross-boundary issues have not been dealt with, duty to cooperate has not been met		1				1			1					1			1			1		YES	Significant concerns with regards to provision of employment land

Ref No	Name	Organisation	Item/Policy	Reason	Duty to Co-operate			Legally Compliant			Positively Prepared			Sound			Justified			Effective			NPPF			EXAMINATION	Reason
					Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref		
SLP432B	Daniel Wilson	Barton Willmore	LP4	Local plan fails to address wider than local employment needs, cross-boundary issues have not been dealt with, duty to cooperate has not been met		1			1			1					1			1				1		YES	Significant concerns with regards to provision of employment land
SLP432C	Daniel Wilson	Barton Willmore	LP6	Local plan fails to address wider than local employment needs, cross-boundary issues have not been dealt with, duty to cooperate has not been met		1			1			1					1			1				1		YES	Significant concerns with regards to provision of employment land
SLP432D	Daniel Wilson	Barton Willmore	LP11	Local plan fails to address wider than local employment needs, cross-boundary issues have not been dealt with, duty to cooperate has not been met		1			1			1					1			1				1		YES	Significant concerns with regards to provision of employment land
SLP433	Peter Frampton	WHS Plastics	LP11	Policy restrictive, sustainable growth should not be confined to small businesses, no reference made to Green Belt PDL redevelopment			1			1						1			1					1		YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP434	Rebecca Thompson	Wallace Land Investments	Duty to Cooperate, OAHN	No duty to cooperate statement, Local plan fails to meet full OAHN, reliance on large housing allocations, partial review for flexibility needed, 20% buffer			1			1			1						1				1		1	YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration, particularly the need to increase NWBC's housing requirement
SLP435	Nick Sandford	The Woodland Trust	LP16	Policy not consistent with para 118 of the NPPF and draft revised NPPF, Sites including or located adjacent to Ancient Woodland should not be included within allocations	1				1			1							1				1			NO	
SLP436	Damien Holdstock	IM Properties Development Ltd	LP12	Need to recognise Coleshill Manor Office Campus as key employment site			1			1			1						1				1			YES	Coleshill Manor Office Campus is important and unique asset
SLP437	Peter Frampton	Coppice Garden Centre Ltd	LP11	Policy restrictive, sustainable growth should not be confined to small businesses, no reference made to Green Belt PDL redevelopment			1			1			1						1				1			YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP438	Kathryn Ventham	Sharba Homes Ltd	LP39	10% flexibility on allocations required, RH1 should be allocated not reserved	1				1			1							1				1			YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP438A	Kathryn Ventham	Sharba Homes Ltd	LP9	No evidence to support 40% Afford.housing requirement	1				1			1							1				1			YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP438B	Kathryn Ventham	Sharba Homes Ltd	LP39a	10% flexibility on allocations required, RH1 should be allocated not reserved, RH2 not deliverable	1				1			1							1				1			YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP438C	Kathryn Ventham	Sharba Homes Ltd	LP2	LP2 conflicts with LP39a	1				1			1							1				1			YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP438D	Kathryn Ventham	Sharba Homes Ltd	LP22	Unjustified	1				1			1							1				1			YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP438E	Kathryn Ventham	Sharba Homes Ltd	LP6	Need firm commitment to meet GB HMA 3790 figure	1				1			1							1				1			YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP438F	Kathryn Ventham	Sharba Homes Ltd	LP28	Infrastructure delivery, such as A5 improvements, key to deliverability of Local Plan	1				1			1							1				1			YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP438G	Kathryn Ventham	Sharba Homes Ltd	LP7	Percentage of self-build not appropriate	1				1			1							1				1			YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP439	Damien Holdstock	IM Properties Development Ltd	para 1.2	Not sound, not positively prepared or consistent with national policy - fails to reference significant changes since 2014 Core Strategy			1			1			1						1				1			YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP439A	Damien Holdstock	IM Properties Development Ltd	para 1.8	Plan fails to address sub-regional employment needs		1				1			1						1				1		1	YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration

Ref No	Name	Organisation	Item/Policy	Reason	Duty to Co-operate			Legally Compliant			Positively Prepared			Sound			Justified			Effective			NPPF			EXAMINATION	Reason
					Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref		
SLP439B	Damien Holdstock	IM Properties Development Ltd	para 2.1-2.5	lack of evidence to justify B1b focus for employment, as opposed to B2/B8.			1			1			1					1			1			1		YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP439C	Damien Holdstock	IM Properties Development Ltd	para 5.1-5.3	not consistent with national policy			1			1			1					1			1			1		YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP439D	Damien Holdstock	IM Properties Development Ltd	LP2	Plan period should be extended to 2036, strategy does not fully understand housing and employment needs, focus on greenbelt protection rather than sustainable development.			1			1			1					1			1			1		YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP439E	Damien Holdstock	IM Properties Development Ltd	LP3	Policy does not reference exceptional circumstances to justify Green Belt boundary alterations, lack of justification for policy			1			1			1					1			1			1		YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP439F	Damien Holdstock	IM Properties Development Ltd	LP6	Plan ignores strategic employment needs			1			1			1					1			1			1		YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP439G	Damien Holdstock	IM Properties Development Ltd	LP40	Lack of evidence relating to employment land supply, include site west of junction 9 of M42			1			1			1					1			1			1		YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP439I	Damien Holdstock	IM Properties Development Ltd	LP37	Policy needs to be amended to result in greater carbon emission reductions			1			1			1					1			1			1		YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP439J	Damien Holdstock	IM Properties Development Ltd	Sustainability Appraisal	Substantial Flaws in SA process			1		1				1					1			1			1		YES	Representation raises issues that require consideration
SLP440	Steve Simms	Kentucky Fried Chicken	LP21	Object, 'disproportionate concentration' unclear, no justification for policy or metric to measure	1			1					1					1			1			1		YES	
SLP441	Mark Jackson	EON	LP40	Object, allocate site west of hamms hall	1			1					1					1			1			1		YES	
SLP441A	Mark Jackson	EON	LP2	Object, Lea Marston should be identified as a category 4 settlement	1			1					1					1			1			1		YES	
SLP442	Alban Henderson	Severn Trent Water	Site Allocations and SA	Object, no employment allocations within Coleshill			1			1			1					1			1			1		YES	
SLP442A	Alban Henderson	Severn Trent Water	Site Allocations and SA	Object, 'aspiration' to meet 9600 creates uncertainty, allocate STW site in Curdworth for housing, do not concur with findings of the SA			1			1			1					1			1			1		YES	
SLP443	Paul Hughes and Tiffany MacDonald		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	Lack of infrastructure and loss of wildlife, fields etc. Not meeting local needs. Impact of HS2			1			1			1					1			1			1		NO	
SLP444	Rajvir Bahey	Sport England	E3	Policy unclear as to replacement recreational facility provision, policy needs rewording to ensure consistency with the NPPF	1			1					1					1			1			1		NO	
SLP444A	Rajvir Bahey	Sport England	LP31 bullet point 7 and 8	Not effective, unclear requirements for policy	1			1					1					1			1			1		NO	
SLP444B	Rajvir Bahey	Sport England	Housing Allocations (Atherstone) H3	Allocation not consistent with para 74 of the NPPF	1			1					1					1			1			1		NO	
SLP444C	Rajvir Bahey	Sport England	S1 and H21 School and Housing Allocations (Water Orton)	Replacement playing field should be equal or better quality to ensure consistency with NPPF	1			1					1					1			1			1		NO	
SLP444D	Rajvir Bahey	Sport England	Housing Allocations (Grendon) H17	Playing field not demonstrated as surplus, policy makes no reference to mitigation for loss of playing field	1			1					1					1			1			1		NO	
SLP444E	Rajvir Bahey	Sport England	Para 11.7	Playing pitch strategy not robust evidence	1			1					1					1			1			1		NO	
SLP445	Eaton Walker	Crisps Farm Ltd	Austrey, SA and Site allocations	Austrey suitable to accommodate potential future residential development, as need dictates			1			1			1					1			1			1		YES	

Ref No	Name	Organisation	Item/Policy	Reason	Duty to Co-operate			Legally Compliant			Positively Prepared			Sound			Justified			Effective			NPPF			EXAMINATION	Reason
					Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref		
SLP446	Paul Rouse	Savills for Prologis UK Limited	Strategic Objectives, Para 5.6 & 5.7, 7.44 & 7.56, LP6	Objectives fail need to plan for regional economic growth. Plan understates employment land requirement. Insufficient employment land allocated.		1		1			1			1			1			1			1			YES	Raise strategic issues
SLP446A	Paul Rouse	Savills for Prologis UK Limited	LP40	Insufficient employment land allocated. Fail to plan for regional economic growth.		1		1			1			1			1			1			1			YES	
SLP446B	Paul Rouse	Savills for Prologis UK Limited	7.44 & 7.56 Employment Requirements	Plan understates employment land requirement. Insufficient employment land allocated. Fail to plan for regional economic growth.		1		1			1			1			1			1			1			YES	
SLP446C	Paul Rouse	Savills for Prologis UK Limited	LP2	Prevents development outside existing development boundaries, contrary to LP11.	1			1			1			1			1			1			1			YES	
SLP446D	Paul Rouse	Savills for Prologis UK Limited	LP6	Strategic objectives fail need to plan for regional economic growth. Plan understates employment land requirement.		1		1			1			1			1			1			1			YES	
SLP446E	Paul Rouse	Savills for Prologis UK Limited	LP11	Contradictory. Final para of LP11 conflicts with Policy LP1 and LP2. Prevents employment redevelopment outside development boundaries.	1			1			1			1			1			1			1			YES	
SLP447	Mark Sullivan, Technical Secretary	CPRE Warwickshire	H1 & H2	Overwhelm town character. Insufficient justification			1			1				1			1			1			1			YES	To seek modifications to Plan.
SLP447A	Mark Sullivan, Technical Secretary	CPRE Warwickshire	Chpt 7 7.33 to 7.43	No review of Spatial Strategy, objectives or vision from the Core strategy. Scale of development. Unclear basis. Flawed overestimated ONS projections. No SA to demonstrate strategy is most appropriate.			1			1				1			1			1			1			YES	To seek modifications to Plan.
SLP447B	Mark Sullivan, Technical Secretary	CPRE Warwickshire	LP28	Unclear. No notation or alignment shown for protected road schemes			1			1				1			1			1			1			YES	To seek modifications to Plan.
SLP447C	Mark Sullivan, Technical Secretary	CPRE Warwickshire	Chpt 3- Issues, para 3.1 to 3.7	Reasons and need for a Local Plan not referred to in Introductory chapters.			1			1				1			1			1			1			YES	To seek modifications to Plan.
SLP447D	Mark Sullivan, Technical Secretary	CPRE Warwickshire	H7	Not justified, scale.			1			1				1			1			1			1			YES	To seek modifications to Plan.
SLP447E	Mark Sullivan, Technical Secretary	CPRE Warwickshire	Chpt 14, para 14.2, Table 7, LP39	Unjustified housing requirement including Birmingham and Coventry unmet need. Reduce Table 7 requirement, Object to No's. H1, H2, H7, H5, H14, H15, H24, H27 & H28. Greenfield loss.			1			1				1			1			1			1			YES	To seek modifications to Plan.
SLP448	Janet Hodson for Malcolm Neachell	JVH Town planning consultants Ltd	Site omission, LP2, LP3, LP6 Table 1 and Table 2.	Settlement hierarchy, lack of Development Boundary for Lea Marston, site omission at Woodside Farm. Housing requirement unclear. Inclusion of Tamworth needs. GBHMA needs unclear.		1				1				1			1			1			1			YES	To seek modifications to Plan.
SLP448A	Janet Hodson for Malcolm Neachell	JVH Town planning consultants Ltd	LP8	Windfall Allowance unconvincing		1				1				1			1			1			1			YES	To seek modifications to Plan.
SLP448B	Janet Hodson for Malcolm Neachell	JVH Town planning consultants Ltd	LP13	Sequential approach for rural building re-use contrary to NPPF		1				1				1			1			1			1			YES	To seek modifications to Plan.
SLP448C	Janet Hodson for Malcolm Neachell	JVH Town planning consultants Ltd	LP12, LP40			1				1				1			1			1			1			YES	To seek modifications to Plan.
SLP448D	Janet Hodson for Malcolm Neachell	JVH Town planning consultants Ltd	Green Belt, Site omission.	Site omission. Failure to exclude Green Belt land for employment.		1				1				1			1			1			1			YES	To seek modifications to Plan.
SLP449	Andrew J Leonard		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon) and Meaningful Gap (H13)	Housing requirement and allocations conflicts with Core Strategy. Impact of Coventry and Birmingham unmet need. Scale of housing, rationale, transport infrastructure, traffic, services and infrastructure. Impact of HS2. Costings and Viability. Lack of alternative options. Unsustainable and uncertain. Loss of settlement separation.			1			1				1			1			1			1			NO	
SLP450			Housing Allocations (Grendon) RH1	Site not suitable for development, WCML forms significant boundary, housing need already addressed, natural environment impact, infrastructure			1			1				1			1			1			1			NO	

Ref No	Name	Organisation	Item/Policy	Reason	Duty to Co-operate			Legally Compliant			Positively Prepared			Sound			Justified			Effective			NPPF			EXAMINATION	Reason
					Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref	Yes	No	No ref		
SLP451	Warren Dyson		Housing Allocations (Grendon) RH1	Site not suitable for development, WCML forms significant boundary, housing need already addressed, natural environment impact, infrastructure			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP452	Bryan Salt		Housing Allocations (Grendon) RH1	Site not suitable for development, WCML forms significant boundary, housing need already addressed, natural environment impact, infrastructure			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP453	Carol Carlisle		Housing Allocations (Grendon) RH1	Site not suitable for development, WCML forms significant boundary, housing need already addressed, natural environment impact, infrastructure			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP454	Mrs Riley		Housing Allocations (Grendon) RH1	Site not suitable for development, WCML forms significant boundary, housing need already addressed, natural environment impact, infrastructure			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP455	Sarah Evans		Housing Allocations (Grendon) RH1	Site not suitable for development, WCML forms significant boundary, housing need already addressed, natural environment impact, infrastructure, flood risk			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP456	Chris Horton		Housing Allocations (Atherstone)	Object, development should be focused to urban areas		1			1			1		1			1			1			1		1	NO	
SLP457	Kevin Woolley		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	2000 homes excessive, requires major infrastructure improvements, allocations should be more evenly distributed, altered character of villages, PDL sites should be priority, loss of green spaces			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP458	Joanne Woolley		Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)	2000 homes excessive, requires major infrastructure improvements, allocations should be more evenly distributed, altered character of villages of Polesworth and Dordon, PDL sites should be priority, loss of green spaces			1			1			1			1			1			1			1	NO	
SLP459	Ian Driver	Badesley and Grendon Stop the Build	Local housing and employment needs	Undeliverable. Impact of traffic, congestion on settlement character. Funding of infrastructure unlikely. No consideration of Garden Village option as identified in GBHMA study and proposed by Labour Group. Flood Plain impact. Loss of flora and fauna. Ground conditions. Use brown field sites first. Impact of HS2 works. Alternative areas with infrastructure should be targetted in Borough including within Green Belt. No change recommendation recommended prior to consultation deadline.	1				1				1				1			1				1		YES	

Chapter / Policy/ Document	Section	Page Number	Paragraphs	Summary of reps	Change sought	Rep references	Council response
	<b>General</b>						
	General			Plan of no benefit		SLP281	No change
	General			Specific Leisure Facilities Policy needed to protect leisure facilities where possible to reduce health inequality. Response from last consultation included	Addition of new policy	SLP169	No change proposed. IDP will reflect ongoing co-operative work and engagement with CCG
	General			Primary Care capacity insufficient to meet needs. Additional infrastructure required.	Ensure IDP and S106 approach applies flexible approach to service and infrastructure provision.	SLP169	Noted. No change.
	IDP			Infrastructure is vague and inadequate.		SLP380	No change
	IDP			Contribution shortfall likely. Unrealistic expectations. Delivery of infrastructure uncertain. Viability impacts on S106 contributions.		SLP388	No change.
	IDP			Developer Contributions to be looked at in Parliament		SLP291	Noted
	Assessment of Meaningful gap			Consider this unsound as it is not justified, flawed and based on inappropriate assessment methodology	Further assessments needed, i.e. capacity studies	SLP429	No change
	Meaningful gap			Infrastructure provision is not certain.		SLP271	No change
	Assessment of Meaningful gap and Green Belt			NWBC have not indicated where 500 need from Tamworth will be accommodated. The DSLP should show a robust 5 year housing supply to justify the gap policy. Para 1.7 refers to consultation with TBC - reference should be made to the views of Tamworth BC and the outcome		SLP324	Tamworth need is accommodated within the site allocations. Unnecessary to No change.
	Leisure Services Strategy			Pressure on Tamworth facilities arising from growth. TBC will need to be party to any Section 106 discussions. TBC is not aware of being consulted on this document		SLP324	TBC Development Management team and Staffordshire are involved in consultation on site proposals. No change.
Open Space SPD	Open Space SPD			Support proposals in SPD. Sporting infrastructure - shortage of suitable facilities within the town.		SLP291	No change.
Open Space SPD	Open Space SPD			Shortfall between cost of facilities and funding		SLP278A, SLP338	No change.
Open Space SPD	Open Space SPD			Unlikely to deliver enough financial contributions for services sought. Replacement open space insufficient to address loss and wildlife impact		SLP388	No change.
Open Space SPD	Open Space SPD			Open space SPD does not indicate contributions for "green corridor network" on allocated sites, including H7.	Seek clarity on level of contribution expected from allocation H7	SLP345	No change.
Open Space SPD	Open Space SPD			Support SPD and Greenspace Strategy guidance and recommendations.		SLP169	Support welcomed. No change
<b>1</b>	<b>Duty to Cooperate</b>						
	Duty to Co-Operate			No Duty to Co-Operate statement. Process with GBHMA unresolved.	Provide DtC Statement confirming co-ordinated approach to GBHMA strategic matters	SLP289, SLP330	A Duty to Co-Operate statement will accompany the Submission document.
<b>Policy Document</b>	<b>Sustainability Appraisal</b>						
	SA			SA is flawed, not consistent with the soundness and compliance of the SEA regulations and PPG	New scoping report and growth options assessment needed	SLP439J	Noted. Referred to LUC
	SA			Inaccurate baseline data for Local Plan - New draft submission SA appears to enter too late into process		SLP439J	Noted. Referred to LUC
	SA			No formal consultation on growth options prior to the selection of the preferred option for growth		SLP439J	Noted. Referred to LUC
	SA			Do not concur with findings of the SA for STW site at Curdworth		SLP442A	Noted. Referred to LUC
<b>2</b>	<b>Spatial Portrait</b>						
	Introduction	6	1.2	fails to reference significant changes since 2014 Core Strategy, such as HS2, GBHMA shortfall	Plan should recognise the significant changes since 2014	SLP439	Noted
		7	1.8	Plan fails to address sub-regional employment need		SLP439A	No change
		8	2.1-2.5	lack of evidence to justify B1b focus for employment, as opposed to B2/B8	Make reference to SEP of Cov and Works and WMCA	SLP439B	No change

Chapter / Policy/ Document	Section	Page Number	Paragraphs	Summary of reps	Change sought	Rep references	Council response
<b>3</b>	<b>Issues</b>						
3		12	3.1-3.7	Reasons and need for a Local Plan not clarified or referred to in Introductory chapters.	Set out whether there is unmet need for Coventry in Issues Chapter.	SLP447C	No change.
<b>4</b>	<b>Spatial Vision</b>						
<b>5</b>	<b>Strategic Objectives</b>						
Strategic Objectives	Strategic Objectives	15	5.5 - 5.6	Objectives fail need to plan for regional economic growth. Outlook too local, lacks ambition. Do not meet Gov't Policy or maximise economic growth.	Plan positively and proactively to meet more than local needs. Objectives need to maximise opportunities for economic growth.	SLP446	No change
			5.20	development should be focused to sustainable locations, not prioritising brownfield sites	Alter priority to most sustainable locations as opposed to redevelopment of PDL sites	SLP439C	No change
<b>6</b>	<b>Sustainable Development</b>						
LP1	LP1	21	Quality of development/place	requirement for improvement of character, appearance unjustified and unreasonable	revise policy	SLP430	No change
LP1	LP1	21	Implementation and Infra	No requirement to negate impacts of previous development	revise policy	SLP430	No change
<b>7</b>	<b>Spatial Strategy</b>						
7	All	26		Local Plan spatial strategy does not reference potential exceptional circumstances	Supporting text to be added to indicate the exceptional circumstances that apply to releasing Green Belt land	SLP428	No change
LP2, LP6	LP2, LP6			Questioning housing numbers, Settlement Hierarchy and IDP		SLP365, SLP367, SLP380	No change
7	LP2	25	7.1 to 7.11	Prevents development outside existing development boundaries, contrary to LP11 objective to retain employment sites and encourage redevelopment for such use.	Insert additional text under Category 5 to enable redevelopment of existing employment sites for employment purposes outside settlement development boundaries, in accordance with LP11 and LP1. Alternatively, Delete cross reference in LP11 to LP1 and LP2 or make change to LP2	SLP446C, SLP446E	No change
LP2	LP2	25		Too inflexible - over reliance on larger sites	More even distribution within the borough	SLP430	No change
LP2	LP2	25		Polesworth and Dordon are separate villages not a Market Town		SLP369, SLP370, SLP371	No change.
LP2	LP2	25		Lea Marston should be identified as a category 4 settlement, and have a defined development boundary	Identify Lea Marston as a category 4 settlement	SLP441A	No change
LP2	LP2	25	LP2	Appropriate meaning unclear - Category 3	Clarify	SLP438C	Noted
LP2	LP2	25-26	LP2	policy conflicts with LP39a	Additional text should be added as per representation relating to release of reserve sites	SLP438C	No change
LP2	LP2	25		Limited housing allocations within SW of North Warks	Include additional site off B'ham Road at Water Orton	SLP430A	No change
LP2	LP2	25		Councils reservation in respect of releasing GB land has resulted in the creation of a less sustainable development strategy	Release additional land within Colehill and Water Orton	SLP430A, SLP430B	No change
LP2	LP2	25		focus on greenbelt protection rather than sustainable development, policy not justified or consistent with national policy	rethink policy	SLP439D	No change
LP2	LP2	25		Question evidence as to why this option was chosen.	If homes are needed build a new garden village	SLP363	No change
LP2	LP2	25		NWBC fails to work proactively, inline with the duty to cooperate, to meet wider than local employment needs	Land east of Lichfield and Kingsbury Road, Junction 9 of the M42 be identified as a safeguarded employment site	SLP432A	No change
7	LP2	25	7.1 to 7.11	General support	Amended development boundary to reflect granting of planning permission for phase 2, Anslay	SLP320A	Support welcomed. No change
LP2/LP3	LP2/LP3	25/29	LP2/LP3	Include clients site. LP2 is too restrictive. LP3 is too restrictive.	Consider rewording both Policies	SLP364	No change
Greenbelt	Greenbelt	26+		Plan does not address GBHMA Study (Feb 2018) or "Areas of Search", including New Arley area and greater unmet need across HMA.	Address study findings with additional land to address shortfall, including identifying New Arley as "Area of Search"	SLP317, SLP289	Plan addresses shortfall from Birmingham. No change
LP3	Green Belt	29		Policy does not reference exceptional circumstances to justify Green Belt boundary alterations, policy not sufficiently evidenced		SLP439E	No change



Chapter / Policy/ Document	Section	Page Number	Paragraphs	Summary of reps	Change sought	Rep references	Council response
LP3	Green Belt	29	LP3	Para 5b does not allow for larger redevelopments to take place, no reference to para 89.6 of the NPPF	Council should have regard to para 89.6 of NPPF along with the new draft NPPF	SLP431, SLP433	No change
LP4	Safeguarded Land	30		NWBC fails to work proactively, inline with the duty to cooperate, to meet wider than local employment needs	Land east of Lichfield and Kingsbury Road, Junction 9 of the M42 be identified as a safeguarded employment site	SLP432B	No change
LP4		30		Insufficient justification/exceptional circumstances for removal of Green Belt at Lindridge Road site	Do not release from Green Belt	SLP291	No change
LP4		30	7.26 to 7.28	NWBC fails to work proactively, inline with the duty to cooperate, to meet wider than local employment needs	Plan should identify or facilitate release of additional employment land	SLP335	No change
LP4		30		Question rationale for policy		SLP343	No change
LP5	Meaningful Gap Study	31	7.29 to 7.32	Assessment of Meaningful Gap Study uses flawed methodology, which misinterprets Policy NW19. Dispute the Study's conclusions.	Development should not be ruled out as a matter of principle. Response to report attached as supporting evidence.	SLP343	No change
LP5	Meaningful Gap Study	31	7.29 to 7.32	Study judgements flawed (re: Parcel 3). Lacks technical evidence, qualitative analysis and assessment of reasonable alternatives. Strategy for LP5 inappropriate. Reduces Plan flexibility. Does not address wider context or previous objections.	Formal designation of gap area unnecessary. If designation remains, remove Area/Parcel 3 from designation. Appraisal and review of Study attached as supporting evidence.	SLP336	No change
LP5	Meaningful Gap	31		Meaningful Gap Assessment methodology is flawed	Further assessments needed to inform policy - such as a capacity exercise	SLP429	No change
LP5	Meaningful Gap	31		Unjustified policy - overly restrictive	Delete bullet points 1 and 3	SLP429	No change
7	Housing	31-33	7.33-7.43	Plan does not address GBHMA Study (Feb 2018) including revised housing figures and deliverability and potential for new settlement.	Address study findings. Include Areas of search in North Warwickshire.	SLP117, SLP317, SLP289	Plan addresses shortfall from Birmingham. No change
7	Housing	31-33	7.33-7.43	Reassessment of Housing Need using new standard methodology published by Gov't in revised NPPF	Plan should address revised NPPF and standard methodology for calculating housing need	SLP289	No change
7	Table1,2 and LP6	32-35	Table1,2 and LP6	T1, T2, LP6 - no clear explanation for making provision for Tamworth from wider HMA. LP6 unclear and unsound		SLP390	The borough council agreed through the core strategy to meet Tamworth's unmet housing need. This commitment will continue.
LP6	LP6	31 - 33	7.33 - 7.43	Housing numbers are 3 times the Core Strategy in what is effectively the same plan.	New community needed	SLP447A	No change
7	Local housing and employment requirements		7.33 - 7.49 (LP39)	Undeliverable. Impact of traffic, congestion on settlement character. Funding of infrastructure unlikely. No consideration of Garden Village option as identified in GBHMA study and proposed by Labour Group.. Flood Plain impact. Loss of flora and fauna. Ground conditions. Use brown field sites first. Impact of HS2 works. Alternative areas with infrastructure should be targetted in Borough including within Green Belt. No change recommendation recommended prior to consultation deadline.	Reconsider proposals for new "Garden Village"	SLP459	No change
7	Employment Requirements	35	7.44 & 7.46 & LP6	Plan understates employment land requirement. Insufficient employment land allocated. Failure to work collaboratively. Too local in scale, lacks ambition.	Reassess employment need to meet strategic national and regional needs identified. Allocate additional employment land to address wider requirements. Detailed evidence submitted in support, identifying shortfall. Focus on J9/M42 corridor.	SLP446, SLP446B, SLP446C, SLP446D	No change.
LP6	Greater than local employment need	35	7.49	NWBC fails to work proactively, inline with the duty to cooperate, to meet wider than local employment needs	Land east of Lichfield and Kingsbury Road, Junction 9 of the M42 be identified as a safeguarded employment site	SLP432C	No change
LP6	LP6	35		Question robustness of OAN. 9700 housing figure should be a requirement	Remove 'aspiration' wording	SLP430, SLP438E	No change
LP6	LP6	35	LP6	Local Plan fails to make provision for strategic employment land needs		SLP439F	Noted

Chapter / Policy/ Document	Section	Page Number	Paragraphs	Summary of reps	Change sought	Rep references	Council response
LP6	LP6	35	LP6	Local Plan fails to make provision for strategic employment land needs	Make provision for at least one large strategic employment site along the M42 corridor (min 25ha)	SLP428	No change
LP6	LP6	35	LP6	Fallings in the assessment of housing need	Allocate ATH9	SLP372	No change
LP6	LP6	35	LP6	NWBC fails to meet full OAHN		SLP434	No change
<b>8</b>	<b>Housing</b>						
LP7	LP7	37	LP7	Percentage of self-build sought not appropriate, council should not dictate quantum of custom/self build	Policy should encourage self/custom build, not specify requirement	SLP438G	No change
LP7	LP7	37	LP7	Lack of justification for 5% self build		SLP345	Noted
LP7	LP7	37	LP7	10% Special Needs provision not clear	Define policy requirement	SLP430, SLP438G	Term will be clarified in Glossary
LP8	LP8	38	LP8	Windfall allowance not robust		SLP390	Noted
LP8	LP8	38	LP8	Over reliance on windfall sites		SLP430A and SLP430B	Noted
LP8	LP8	38	LP8	Windfall Allowance unconvincing		SLP448A	Noted
LP9	LP9	40	LP9	LP9 not subject to up to date evidence	Commission an up to date viability assessment	SLP430A, SLP430B SLP438A	No change
<b>9</b>	<b>Employment</b>						
LP11	LP11	44		Final para of LP11 makes development of employment land outside development boundaries subject to LP1 and LP2 preventing employment re-development on all sites outside development boundaries.	Delete cross reference to LP1 and LP2 or nmake change to LP2	SLP446C, SLP446E	No change
LP11	LP11	44		NWBC fails to work proactively, inline with the duty to cooperate, to meet wider than local employment needs	Land east of Lichfield and Kingsbury Road, Junction 9 of the M42 be identified as a safeguarded employment site	SLP432D	No Change
LP11	LP11	44		General Support		SLP429	Support welcomed. No change
LP11	LP11	44	LP11	Support for sustainable growth and expansion of all sized rural businesses	Delete small scale from para 3 of LP11	SLP429	No Change
LP11	LP11	44	LP11	Support for sustainable growth and expansion of all sized businesses	Policy should read as follows; Support and encouragement will be given for businesses within the rural area to expand in line with the NPPF, where expansion does not impact detrimentally on the countryside character in environmental or sustainable terms	SLP433, SLP437	No Change
LP12	LP12	44	LP12, LP40	Hams Hall Development Boundary insufficient for employment requirement.	Additional allocations needed for Hams Hall (see site omission)	SLP448C	No change
LP12	LP12	44		Need to recognise Coleshill Manor Office Campus as key employment site	Make reference within local plan as per representation	SLP436	No change
LP12	LP12	44		Support	None	SLP429	Support welcomed. No change
LP12	Hams Hall	44		Object to depicting Dev Boundary for employment proposal at Hams Hall. Should be additional allocations M42 belt/east of Birmingham.		SLP390	Noted
LP13	LP13	45	LP13	Bullet point is rigid and provides no flexibility	Reword policy as per representation	SLP429	No change
LP13	LP13	45	LP13	Not in line with NPPF	delete bullet points b and c	SLP390, SLP429	No change
LP13	LP13	45	Reuse of existing rural buildings	Sequential approach for rural building re-use contrary to NPPF para 55.	Redraft Policy to allow reuse of rural buildings for housing subject to normal DC requirements.	SLP448B	No change
<b>10</b>	<b>Environment</b>						
LP16	LP16	51	LP16	Policy not consistent with para 118 of the NPPF	Modified policy to reflect NPPF and new draft NPPF	SLP435	No change. policy is consistent with NPPF
LP16	LP16	51	LP16	No reference to how policy will be applied - landscape assessments are subjective	Revisit policy	SLP330	No change
<b>11</b>	<b>Services and Facilities</b>						
LP21	LP21	60	LP21	'disproportionate concentration' unclear, no justification for policy, what constitutes disproportionate? Does it apply to all hot food takeaways? How are mixed use premises implicated?	Either delete from policy or clarify its extent, set density thresholds for each area affected	SLP440	No change
LP21	LP21	59	LP21	Browns Lane shopping parade should be the focus of future retail development in Dordon	Define new town centre boundary for the local service centre at Browns Lane and New Street Shopping, Dordon	SLP429	Noted
LP21	Town Centres and Neighbourhood Centres	59		Traffic impact between Polesworth Town Centre, Dordon Neighbourhood Centre and development east of Dordon.		SLP278	STA and IDP address traffic impact. No change.

Chapter / Policy/ Document	Section	Page Number	Paragraphs	Summary of reps	Change sought	Rep references	Council response
LP22	LP22	60	LP22	Lack of evidence to justify land/financial contributions for additional services and facilities	Evidence policy	SLP438D	No change
LP23	LP23	60	LP23	Overly restrictive policy	Add additional paragraph	SLP386	No change
LP23	LP23	61	11.70	Playing pitch strategy not robust evidence, has not been signed off by Sport England and relevant NGB's	Sport England welcome the opportunity to discuss outstanding queries with regards to the PPS	SLP444E	Noted
<b>12</b>	<b>Transport</b>						
LP28	Strategic Road Improvements	67	LP28	Unclear. No notation or alignment shown for protected road schemes	Show alignments	SLP447A	No change
<b>13</b>	<b>Development Management</b>						
LP31	LP31	71	Bullet Point 7 and 8	Not effective, unclear requirements for policy	Consideration 8 should relate to para 74 of the NPPF, and new policy proposed as per representation	SLP444A	No change
LP37		79		Policy needs to be amended to result in greater carbon emission reductions	Energy efficient fabric to the 10% requirement, as opposed to simply Renewable Energy	SLP439I	No change
<b>14</b>	<b>Allocations</b>						
14	Allocations	107		Lack of housing allocations within Water Orton sufficient to meet projected requirement	Include site off Birmingham Road	SLP430A	No change
14	Allocations	82	14.30	20% buffer required, 5% not sufficient	20% buffer required	SLP434	No change
	Allocations	82	Table 7	5% not sufficient	10% flexibility required	SLP438 and SLP438B	No change
14	Allocations	82		Sites including or adjacent to Ancient Woodland should not be included within allocations	Remove sites H7, H13, RH1	SLP435	No change, appropriate preservation measures are included within policy
14	Allocations	82+		Need to produce an up to date 5 year HLS	Update 5yr HLS	SLP330	5 year HLS is updated annually as a minimum. Update underway. No change
14	Allocations/ LP39	82+		Over reliance on large sites, more flexibility needed	Wide range of sites required	SLP434	No change
14, Table 7, LP39	Site Allocations	82+	14.2+, LP39, H1, H2, H7, H5, H14, H15, H24, H27 & H28	Unjustified housing requirement including Birmingham and Coventry unmet need. Reduce Table 7 requirement. Object to No's. H1, H2, H7, H5, H14, H15, H24, H27 & H28 of LP39, damaging, unjustified, loss of greenfield.	Reduce housing requirement in Table 7 from 6820 to 2490. Delete H1, H2, H7, H5, H14, H15, H24, H27 & H28 from LP39	SLP447E	No change
LP39	Site Allocations	107	S1	Replacement playing field should be equal or better quality to ensure consistency with NPPF		SLP444C	Noted
LP39	General	83	LP39	Support the fact that PS182 has not been put forward and would have major concerns if it did		SLP380	Noted, support welcomed
LP39	General	83	LP39	Land at Westwood/ herring Road (ATH9) should be allocated	Allocate site	SLP372	No change.
LP39	General	83	LP39	No housing allocations within Fillongley	Include site at wood corner farm	SLP431	No change.
LP39	Allocations, Mancetter	83	LP39	Site at Nuneaton Road, Mancetter suitable	Include site	SLP330	No change.
LP39	General	83	LP39	No certainty over housing numbers	Allocate STW site at Curdworth for residential development	SLP442A	No change.
LP39	Atherstone	83	LP39	Object to housing within Atherstone	Development should be focused to urban areas	SLP456	No change.
LP39	Atherstone	83	LP39	Allocation not consistent with para 74 of the NPPF, site not surplus, policy makes no reference to equal or better replacement provision	remove site	SLP444B	Noted
LP39	H1, H2	83, 86 to 88		Sites will overwhelm town's character, with insufficient justification or assessment of impact.	Delete H1 and H2, replace with smaller extension as per 2014 Plan	SLP447	No change.
LP39	Housing Allocations (Polesworth & Dordon)		LP39, H13	Housing requirement and allocations conflicts with Core Strategy, Impact of Coventry and Birmingham unmet need. Scale of housing, rationale, transport infrastructure, traffic, services and infrastructure needs and deficiency. Impact of HS2 construction. Costings and Viability. Lack of Alternative proposals or consultation on alternative options. Unsustainable and uncertain. Loss of separation/definition between Tamworth and Polesworth and Dordon.		SLP449	Noted

Chapter / Policy/ Document	Section	Page Number	Paragraphs	Summary of reps	Change sought	Rep references	Council response
LP39, H20	Ansley Common	84 104/106	H20	Site can support more than 450 through extended development area. Addition enables flexibility for development and open space.	Include additional land area within proposal H20	SLP331	No change.
LP39(a)	Ansley Common	84	RH2	Site not deliverable	Delete	SLP438B	No change.
LP39a	Dairy House Farm Phase 3	84	RH1	Site not suitable for development, site access issues, WCML forms significant boundary, housing need from CW and GB already addressed, PROW dissects site, natural environment impact, infrastructure provision lacking		SLP450, SLP451, SLP452, SLP453, SLP454, SLP455	Noted
LP39a	Dairy House Farm Phase 3	84	RH1	Site lies within flood plain, development will increase flood risk		SLP455	Noted, no change
LP39a	Dairy House Farm Phase 3	84	RH1	Support, however site should be included as an allocation owing to suitability and availability improvements	Include as an allocation	SLP438 and SLP438B	Support welcomed. No change
LP39a	Dairy House Farm Phase 3	84	RH1	No funding certainty over a5 bypass funding	deletion of policy	SLP429	Noted
LP39	General	88	H2, H5, H7, H15, H10, H20, H24	Historic Environment Assessment is limited in clarity and depth	Additional consideration to designated and non-designated assests to inform allocation	SLP378	
H2	Atherstone	88	H2	Cost of infrastructure to support development, access provision over the WCML	Provide evidence	SLP330	IDP addresses infrastructure. No change
H3	Atherstone	89	H3	Question wether site is available, when will findings of SFRA be available	Provide evidence	SLP330	No change
LP39	Polesworth and Dordon	91	14.35	Potential issues due to past mining		SLP377, SLP387	Noted, Coal Mining Risk Assessment will be required for any future housing application
LP39	Polesworth and Dordon	91	14.35	Housing needs to be in line with local needs not those of Birmingham. Loss of wildlife. One sided consultation.Loss of village life. Impact of HS2		SLP366, SLP373, SLP374, SLP375, SLP376, SLP369, SLP370, SLP371, SLP381, SLP378, SLP383, SLP384, SLP387, SLP388, SLP389, SLP391, SLP392, SLP393, SLP394, SLP395, SLP396, SLP397, SLP398, SLP399, SLP400, SLP401, SLP402, SLP403, SLP404-SLP413, SLP414-SLP427, SLP443	Noted
LP39	Polesworth and Dordon	91		2000 homes excessive, requires major infrastructure improvements, allocations should be more evenly distributed, altered character of villages of Polesworth and Dordon, PDL sites should be priority, loss of green spaces		SLP457, SLP458	No change
LP39	Polesworth and Dordon	92		Appropriate development should be allowed between tamworth and P/D	Include allocation of site off J10 of M42 - West of Dordon	SLP429	No change
H7	H7	92	H7	Revised wording, 2000 minimum. No justification for 50m ancient woodland buffer. Inclusion of Landscape Character Assessment in support. Note HCA assessment requirements which will be prepared in support. Support allocation	2000 homes at a minimum. Reduce buffer.	SLP345	Support welcomed. No change
H7	H7	92	H7	Former mined site never fully restored. Should be classed as pdl for purposes of LP9 Affordable housing.	Indicate in allocation site is classed as brownfield for purposes of LP9 Affordable housing.	SLP345	LP9 makes allowance for viability evidence to address site viability. No change
H7, H13	H7, H13	92, 100	H7, H13	Confirm wether infrastructure requirements can be met and delivery time		SLP330	IDP addresses infrastructure. No change
14	Employment Allocations	85	Table 8	Add extant planning permissions/allocations for the purpose of clarity	Additional clarity or supporting calculation should be provided	SLP390	Unnecessary, no change
LP39	Housing Allocations, Water Orton	108	H21	Support	Include site	SLP430A	Support welcomed. No change
LP39	Housing Allocations, Coleshill	90		Additional housing allocations required	Include sites at to the east and west Packington Lane, Coleshill, and Land north and south of Blythe Road (four sites)	SLP430B	No change
LP39	Housing Allocations	111	H27	Support	Include site	SLP430	Support welcomed. No change
LP40	Employment Allocations	85		No employment allocations within Coleshill	Include Coleshill STW site, land parcel CH8	SLP442	No change

Chapter / Policy/ Document	Section	Page Number	Paragraphs	Summary of reps	Change sought	Rep references	Council response
LP40	Employment Allocations	85			Allocate site to the west of Hamms Hall	SLP441	No change
LP40	Employment Allocations	85		Lack of evidence relating to employment land supply, need to confirm market demand for growth	include site junction 9 of M42	SLP439G	No change
LP40	Employment Allocations	85		Employment supply calculation unclear, evidence out-of-date.	Clarify supply of total provision. Include provision for sub-regional and regional growth.	SLP428	No change
LP40	Employment Allocations	85		Lack of evidence contained within Local Plan with regards to the strategic employment land demand	Allocation of strategic employment site (min 25ha) along M42 corridor, suitable for B1, B2 and B8 uses	SLP429	No change
LP40	Employment Allocations	85		Policy not the most appropriate strategy, fails to address Tamworth and Coventry employment shortfalls, along with employment land lost due to HS2	Increase employment land from 100 to 130ha	SLP429	No change
LP40	Employment Allocations	85		Relocation of employment sites such as a motorway service area required owing to displacement from HS2	Additional employment allocation NE of Junction 10 M42	SLP429	No change
LP40	Employment Allocations	98	14.48	Not the most appropriate strategy	Delete sentence regarding allotment replacement and refer to OS1 not OS2	SLP429	Noted
LP40	Employment Allocations	98	E2 and E3	Ownership issues limit potential contribution		SLP439G	Noted
LP40	Employment Allocations	98	E2	Support site allocation	None	SLP429	Support welcomed. No change
LP40	Employment Allocations	98	E2	Not the most appropriate strategy	Delete sentence referring to access via current birch coppice service road	SLP429	Noted
LP40	Employment Allocations	99	E3	Policy unclear as to replacement recreational facility provision, policy does not ensure that replacement facilities will be accessible, fit for purpose and useable prior to redevelopment of site	Revise as follows; The existing open space, sports and recreational buildings and land, relocated to an alternative location north of the A5, identified as site OS1 on the proposals map. The replacement provision will be of equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality. Prior to the redevelopment of the site the replacement provision should be accessible, fit for purpose and be available for use.	SLP444	Noted
LP40	Employment Allocations	99	E3	Support site allocation	None	SLP429	Support welcomed. No change
LP40	Employment Allocations	99	E3	Reword title	adjacent to Core 42', remove reference to Hall End Farm	SLP429	Noted
LP40	Employment Allocations	85	LP40, LP12	Hams Hall Development Boundary insufficient for employment requirement.	Additional allocations needed for Hams Hall (see site omission)	SLP448C	No change
LP40	Employment Allocations	85	LP40	Local Plan fails to address wider than local employment needs	additional sites required - land east of Lichfield and Kingsbury Road, Junction 9 of the M42 be identified as a safeguarded employment site	SLP432	No change
LP40	Employment Allocations	85	LP40	Insufficient employment land allocated. Fail to plan for regional economic growth. Allocate additional employment land to address wider requirements. Detailed evidence submitted in support, identifying shortfall.	Allocate land between Peddimore and J9/M42 corridor, the Birmingham International Gateway (BIG) site.	SLP446, SLP446A & B	No change
14	Atherstone, Grendon, Polesworth	86,91, 102	14.15,14.6, 14.35	Lack of infrastructure (roads). Complete change in character of area.	Suggest new areas considered for development	SLP383, SLP384	Plan makes allowance for future options in Para 6.1 and 6.20. No change
14	Polesworth and Dordon	91	14.35	Infrastructure cannot support development (Roads, schools, doctors	Increased infrasture	SLP363, SLP367	IDP addresses infrastructure. No change
H7	Land east of Polesworth / Dordon	92	H7	Not justified, scale. Use 2014 Plan allocations as starting point.	Reduce size of H7	SLP447D	No change.
H7	H7	92	H7	Support the allocation of the site as a whole but have objections/concerns regarding criteria.	Policy needs to be reworded	SLP369, SLP370, SLP371	Support welcomed. No change
	H17	104	H17	Playing field not demonstrated as surplus, policy makes no reference to mitigation for loss of playing field	NWBC should engage with Sport England and NGB's to address outstanding queries	SLP444D	Noted

Chapter / Policy / Document	Section	Page Number	Paragraphs	Summary of reps	Change sought	Rep references	Council response
Appendix M	Development Boundary	172+		New Arley development boundary adjacent to Dafferns Wood likely to result in damage to AW	Revise/remove	SLP435	Noted
<b>15</b>	<b>Monitoring</b>						